Contents

Introduction ...........................................3
Scope ....................................................4
Standards..............................................5
Test Selection ........................................6
Test Method ..........................................9
Conclusion ..........................................13
Introduction

Every day, hundreds of small to medium earthquakes rattle homes, buildings and other high-value structures globally. Casualties and structural damage from a given quake depend on many variables, including but not limited to:

- building codes;
- code enforcement rigor;
- structure age;
- construction materials;
- foundation design and reinforcement;
- soil properties;
- intensity (magnitude);
- depth;
- fault / thrust type;
- distance from epicentre.

Evidence from small and large quakes globally points to strict building codes, enforcement, and preparedness as key factors in mitigating injuries, fatalities, and structural losses. Moderate to large quakes in countries such as Haiti (2010, 7.0M, 315,000 deaths), China (Tangshan, 1976, 7.5M, 242,000 deaths), and Turkmenistan (Ashgabat, 1948, 7.2M, 110,000 deaths) caused mass destruction and hundreds of thousands of fatalities. In quakes like these, unwitting inhabitants were no match for lax building codes, unreinforced masonry and concrete, or even corruption among some unscrupulous contractors and building officials.

Conversely, certain epic seismic events in recent history spared many lives, in part, due to more rigorous seismic design, code enforcement, and preparedness, for instance:

- Chile, 2010, 8.8M, 500+ deaths, including 150 tsunami-related;
- Japan, 2011, 9.0M, 20,000+ deaths, most tsunami-related.

Yet with so many uncontrolled variables, strict building codes alone are insufficient to preclude all human casualties or prevent damage to even the best engineered structures.
Scope

In the event of an earthquake, the type of electrical cabinet used to house equipment and components is often the deciding factor in whether or not the equipment will remain active, and selecting the proper electrical cabinet could mean the difference between failure and continued functionality.

Most manufacturers of electrical cabinets offer products that are marketed as offering earthquake-resistant cabinets; however, there can be significant differences in the standards used to achieve those certifications, and thus, major disparities in the level of protection that those products provide.

In addition, electrical substation cabinets are part of the electrical generation, transmission and distribution system, so they take on a relevant importance when an Earthquake occurs. For this reason, Eldon has additionally certified the most common configuration used for electrical substations, standard combinable enclosure mounting with a swing frame.

This white paper provides an overview of the different standards relating to the electrical infrastructure and also explains the methods used to test and certify Eldon’s enclosures as earthquake-resistant.
Standards

There are a number of standards and regulations relating to earthquake protection. As already stated, building safety is often the primary concern. In certain regards, the standards adopt very different approaches according to the scientific discipline – civil engineering, electrical engineering or information technology.

According to seismic codes, earthquake-resistant enclosures are intended to withstand the largest earthquake of a certain probability that is likely to occur at their location. This means the collapse of the structure should be minimized for rare earthquakes while the loss of the functionality should be limited for more frequent ones.

Nowadays, different standards may be applied, depending on the application, such as civil engineering, IT and telecommunications, and electrical engineering. Furthermore, the applicable standards also differ according to the geographical location.

Mainly, there are three relevant standards for switchgear systems and other electrical infrastructures:

1. **DIN EN/IEC 60068-3-3**
   
   It is a set of documents that contains information on environmental testing procedures. It is published by the International Electrotechnical Commission and deals with environmental tests for electrical, electro-mechanical or electronic equipment and devices, their subassemblies and constituent parts and components.

2. **IEE 344**
   
   This standard includes recommended practise for seismic qualification of Class 1E Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations, to verify the equipment ability to perform its safety function during and/or after the specified seismic motions.

3. **Telcordia GR-63-CORE**
   
   Although the GR-63-CORE generic requirements originally developed by Telcordia are not a formal standard as such, they form a very commonly stipulated requirement in contracts, especially in the United States. The document refers to the designated zones in the United States (zones 0 to 4), where zone 0 represents a very low risk and zone 4 a high risk of earthquakes (see table 3).
Test Selection

Nowadays, there are many possibilities and standards available to certify enclosures as earthquake resistant. Eldon, aiming to fulfill all customer requirements, has tested its combinable floor standing range, in particular MCS, to certify it according to:

- Telcordia GR-63-CORE;
- IEE 344.

Telcordia GR-63-CORE

Within Telcordia GR-63-CORE, the document refers to different zones in the United States, from zone 0 up to 4, representing zone 0, a very low risk, and zone 4, a high risk of earthquakes.

Comparing with other standards, such as DIN EN/IEC 60068-3-3, Telcordia GR-63-CORE requirements are higher, which is another reason why it is the most popular seismic certification in the market. For instance, referring to seismic zone, values for Upper Floor Acceleration (g) are as follows:

![Figure 1. Telcordia Seismic Zones](image-url)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordinate Point</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Values for Upper Floor Acceleration (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zonal 1 and 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Zone 3           |                |                                        |
| 1                | 0.3            | 0.2                                    |
| 2                | 0.6            | 2.0                                    |
| 3                | 5.0            | 5.0                                    |
| 4                | 15.0           | 1.0                                    |
| 5                | 50.0           | 1.0                                    |

| Zone 4           |                |                                        |
| 1                | 0.3            | 0.2                                    |
| 2                | 0.6            | 2.0                                    |
| 3                | 5.0            | 5.0                                    |
| 4                | 15.0           | 1.6                                    |
| 5                | 50.0           | 1.6                                    |

Table 1. Upper Floor Acceleration (g). Telcordia GR-63-CORE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone 0</td>
<td>a &lt; 0.035g</td>
<td>0.0g</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0g</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 1</td>
<td>0.035g &lt; a &lt; 0.05g</td>
<td>0.04g</td>
<td>0.06g</td>
<td>a &lt; 0.07g</td>
<td>a &lt; 0.05g</td>
<td>a &lt; 0.16g</td>
<td>0.075g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 2</td>
<td>0.05g &lt; a &lt; 0.075g</td>
<td>0.06g</td>
<td>0.1g</td>
<td>0.07g &lt; a &lt; 0.11g</td>
<td>0.05g &lt; a &lt; 0.15g</td>
<td>0.16 &lt; a &lt; 0.24g</td>
<td>0.15g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3</td>
<td>0.075g &lt; a &lt; 0.1g</td>
<td>0.08g</td>
<td>0.13g</td>
<td>0.11g &lt; a &lt; 0.16g</td>
<td>0.15g &lt; a &lt; 0.25g</td>
<td>0.24 &lt; a &lt; 0.36g</td>
<td>0.3g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 4</td>
<td>0.1g &lt; a</td>
<td>0.16g</td>
<td>0.16g &lt; a &lt; 0.3g</td>
<td>0.25g &lt; a &lt; 0.3g</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Upper Floor Acceleration. Other standards.
IEEE 344

The nuclear power industry in North America (USA, Canada & Mexico) requires seismic qualification testing for any equipment being installed in nuclear power generating stations. If it has a safety related function (Seismic Category I) then it must be qualified for its capability to perform satisfactorily in the event of an earthquake either by analysis or by testing. In the case of vibration testing, the requirements are defined within IEEE-Std-344.

The IEEE-344 standard makes numerous references to two categories of earthquake:

- OBE or Operating Basis Earthquake;
- SSE or Safe Shutdown Earthquake.

An SSE is postulated to be more severe than an OBE. The general requirement is that the equipment being qualified should be subjected to several OBE tests (typically five or less) followed by one SSE test. The severity of the motion is defined in terms of a Required Response Spectrum (RRS) which is a Shock Response Spectrum.

These recommended practices provide direction for establishing procedures that will yield data which verify that the class 1E equipment can meet its performance requirements during and following an SSE (safe shutdown earthquake) preceded by a number of OBEs (operating basis earthquakes).

This document is used by equipment manufactures to establish procedures that will yield data to substantiate performance claims or by equipment users to evaluate and verify performance of representative devices and assemblies as part of an overall qualification effort.
Test Method

Eldon, continuously gathering customer feedback, has been focused on certifying its combinable floor standing enclosure as seismic zone 3 (according to Point 5.4.1, Earthquake Test Methods, of TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES GENERIC REQUIREMENTS, “GR-63-CORE”, Issue 4, March 2012), without using any seismic accessory, to fulfill the market requirements. Thus, Eldon has carried out two different seismic tests in an independent laboratory.

Both tests were carried out on a vibration test platform provided with a “bi-axial independent” action, EDB 250 x 250, of 2,500 mm width by 2,500 mm length, in VIRLAB S.A. Division of URBAR INGENIEROS S.A. facilities, a company accredited by ENAC, Spanish National Accreditation Entity (certificate number 54/LE131).

In addition, aiming to meet all customer requirements, Eldon has carried out another seismic test, more focused on Nuclear Power Generating Stations, according to IEEE 344-1987. The electrical cabinet was tested by Sulzer Innotec.

A short summary regarding each seismic test is included in the sections below.
To simulate a real application, the mounting plate was loaded with 155 kg, in five trays separated by 370 mm, with approximately 31 kg on each one.

The Electrical Cabinet was submitted to the tests described below:

1. Resonance search tests, between 1 and 50 Hz, with an acceleration level of 0.2 g, independently performed in each one of the three main directions of the Cabinet, front-to-back (X), side-to-side (Y) and vertical (Z);

2. Seismic tests, consisting of one test, 30 second duration, independently applied in horizontal direction, “X” (front-to-back) and “Y” (side-to-side); and in vertical, “Z” direction. The applicable Required Response Spectra, RRS (2% damping), considers a Zero Period Acceleration of 1.0 g.
To simulate a real application, the mounting plate was loaded with 155 kg, in five trays separated by 370 mm, with approximately 31 kg on each one, the swing frame was loaded with 65 kg, in five trays separated by 370 mm, with approximately 13 kg on each one.

The Electrical Cabinet was submitted to the tests described below:

1. Resonance search tests, between 1 and 50 Hz, with an acceleration level of 0.2 g, independently performed in each one of the three main directions of the Cabinet, front-to-back (X), side-to-side (Y) and vertical (Z);  
2. Seismic tests, consisting of one test, 30 second duration, independently applied in horizontal direction, “X” (front-to-back) and “Y” (side-to-side); and in vertical, “Z” direction. The applicable Required Response Spectra, RRS (2% damping), considers a Zero Period Acceleration of 1.0 g.
Test procedure for combinable enclosure with seismic accessory (SBK) according to IEEE 344.

Equipment tested: MCS20088R5
- Cabinet Drawing number: MCS20088R5/MCS20088PER5, revision 1;
- IP 56 Type 4, 12, 13 IK 10;
- Dimensions: 800 (width) x 800 (depth) x 2000 (height) mm;
- Mounting plate height: 1894 mm;
- Width mounting plate: 694 mm;
- Maximum cabinet useful depth: 759 mm;
- Weight: 139 kg;

Accessories:
- SBK20088 (Seismic bracket kit)
  - Seismic Bracket Drawing number: SBK20088, revision 1;
  - Dimensions: 800 (width) x 800 (depth) x 2000 (height) mm;
  - Weight: 13 kg.

- 2 x CLPK800 (Click in profile in depth)
  - Click in Profile Drawing number: CLPK800, revision 1;
  - Weight: 3 kg per unit.

- 2 x CB800 (Carrier bar)
  - Carrier Bar Drawing number: CB800, revision 1;
  - Weight: 3 kg per unit.

The Electrical Cabinet was submitted to the tests described below:

1. Resonance search tests, between 2 and 50 Hz, with an acceleration level of 0.1 g, independently performed in each one of the three main directions of the Cabinet, front-to-back (X), side-to-side (Y) and vertical (Z);

2. Seismic tests, consisting of one test, 30 second duration, independently applied in horizontal direction, "X" (front-to-back) and “Y” (side-to-side); and in vertical, "Z" direction. The applicable Required Response Spectra, RRS (7% damping), considers a Zero Period Acceleration of 1.0 g.
Conclusion

Results

Combinable enclosures tested have successfully passed the Seismic Tests, to which they have been subjected, maintaining their structural integrity, without any anomaly or structural deterioration having been detected.

In summary, three tests have been succeeded:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combinable enclosure</th>
<th>Accessories</th>
<th>Tested installed weight</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Tested by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCS20086R5</td>
<td>PF1080, PS1060</td>
<td>On mounting plate: 155 kg</td>
<td>Telcordia GR-63-CORE, seismic zone 3</td>
<td>Virlab S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCS20086R5</td>
<td>ESFC406, PF1080, PS1060</td>
<td>On mounting plate: 155 kg</td>
<td>Telcordia GR-63-CORE, seismic zone 3</td>
<td>Virlab S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCS20088R5</td>
<td>2 x CLPK800, 2 x CB800</td>
<td>Only self-weight</td>
<td>IEEE Std. 344-1987</td>
<td>SULZER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicability of the tests

Although tests were carried through with combinable enclosures with dimensions 2000 mm (h) x 800 mm (w) x 600 mm (d) and 2000 mm (h) x 800 mm (w) x 800 mm (d), and the enclosure’s certification is only valid for the sizes and configuration tested, Eldon states that other combinable enclosure dimensions are reliable regarding earthquake resistance, without using any seismic accessory, as long as the following criteria are met:

- The combinable enclosure has been built according to Eldon’s mounting instructions;
- Total height (with or without plinth) shall not exceed 2100 mmm or centre of mass is lower than 1000 mm;
- Base area is equal or bigger than the tested enclosure;
- The earthquake standard and the frequency spectrum is similar;
- Mounted weight is equal to or less than the tested enclosures;
- Weight distribution is similar to the tests.